### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex rel.;

CORI RIGSBY; AND KERRI RIGSBY RELATORS/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS

v. CASE No. 1:06-cv-433-LTS-RHW

STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY, et al.

DEFENDANTS/COUNTER-PLAINTIFFS

## RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY'S MOTION TO COMPEL PATRICIA LOBRANO

State Farm Fire and Casualty Company's ("State Farm") Motion to Compel Patricia Lobrano to Comply with [499] Subpoena Duces Tecum ("Motion") greatly exaggerates the parties' dispute as to Ms. Lobrano's subpoena. As Ms. Lobrano's original objections and her recent deposition testimony confirm, there simply are no responsive materials to the vast majority of State Farm's requests.

<u>Request 1</u>: Documents reflecting communications with Kerri or Cori Rigsby related to State Farm, the McIntosh property or insurance claims for Hurricane Katrina damage to the Lobrano request.

Because Ms. Lobrano primarily communicates with her daughters verbally, there are very few documents reflecting *any* communications between them. The few documents that do exist are not relevant.

State Farm argues that Ms. Lobrano's communications with her daughters regarding State Farm, the McIntosh property, or Ms. Lobrano's insurance claims are relevant because Tammy Hardison testified that the Rigsbys were involved in adjusting Ms. Lobrano's Katrina damage insurance claim, and as such, these documents "may further reveal attempts by the Rigsbys to

improperly influence the outcome of Mrs. Lobrano's State Farm insurance claim, which would be relevant to the Rigsbys' bias, prejudice, credibility and motive."

Ms. Lobrano is willing to produce any documents reflecting communications with her daughters related to her insurance claim. But, she has no such documents. Ms. Lobrano cannot produce documents that do not exist or that are not within her possession.

State Farm seeks more, demanding documents related to <u>all</u> communications between Ms. Lobrano and her daughters related to State Farm in any context. State Farm offers no explanation as to why this broader category of documents, all communications between Ms. Lobrano and her daughters regarding State Farm, are relevant.<sup>1</sup>

As explained in her initial objections, Ms. Lobrano primarily communicates with her daughters either in person or by the telephone. *See* April 5, 2010 letter from B. Davidson to R. Galloway, attached to State Farm's Motion to Compel as Exhibit B at 2 ("Lobrano objections"). State Farm questioned Ms. Lobrano about these communications during her deposition, and she explained:

[Kerri and Cori Rigsby] don't, either one, recollect that we ever e-mailed any information. The only thing we ever have e-mailed to each other that we could remember is occasionally if I'm reading an interesting article or something pertaining to the case over the last few years, I might forward to them one e-mail, but that would be the only thing. It would be forwarded usually without any comments, just an FYI.

Lobrano Transcript 33:18-34:11. Attached to Motion as Exhibit A. E -mails from Ms. Lobrano to her daughters forwarding "an article or something pertaining to this case" are not relevant.<sup>2</sup>

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ms. Lobrano and Cori and Kerri Rigsby dispute the accuracy of Tammy Hardison's testimony. But, even if Ms. Hardison's testimony is accurate, it would only establish that communications between Ms. Lobrano and her daughters regarding Ms. Lobrano's insurance claim are relevant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It is not clear whether these documents are even responsive to State Farm's subpoena.

Not only would they have been written after the last date for discoverable information this Court previously announced, but more fundamentally, an e-mail from Ms. Lobrano forwarding a news story to her daughters cannot possibly be relevant to her daughters' bias, credibility, or motive.

See [447] at 1 ("the Court finds that the latest date for discoverable information is the date on which the McIntosh homeowner claim was closed"). Ms. Lobrano's notes to her daughters related to this litigation or with personal notes, sent long after the operative relevant occurrences here can have no relevance to this litigation.

<u>Requests 4 and 5</u>: All documents reflecting Hurricane Katrina damage to the Lobrano residence and all documents depicting the weather, tidal surge or wind at the Lobrano residence.

Ms. Lobrano's insurance claim against State Farm has been settled. Even if documents depicting the damage to Ms. Lobrano's residence were somehow relevant, the only documents responsive to these requests are already in State Farm's possession because Ms. Lobrano's insurance policies were with State Farm and she would have provided these documents as part of the adjustment process. State Farm argues in its motion that Ms. Lobrano admits to having taken pictures of her home at least some of which were previously unknown to State Farm. Motion at 3, 6-7.

Ms. Lobrano testified that shortly after Hurricane Katrina she took pictures of her home, she "turned a lot of them over in [her] claims report," and any pictures she did not turn over to State Farm "would probably be on [her] computer." Exhibit A at 142: 3-24. Ms. Lobrano also testified that she was not sure if that computer was still working, and she did not know where it was. *Id.* at 39:13-40:6. Following her deposition, Ms. Lobrano searched for the laptop where

the pictures of her home would have been, and she was unable to locate it. As such, there is nothing to compel because Stat Farm is already in possession of all responsive documents.<sup>3</sup>

<u>Request No. 6:</u> All documents reflecting communications with any person, entity or government agency related to the Lobrano's' insurance claim for Hurricane Katrina damage to the Lobrano residence.

State Farm has not offered any explanation as to why communications between Ms. Lobrano and anyone other than the Rigsbys about Ms. Lobrano's insurance claim could potentially be relevant. Regardless, State Farm seeks production of Ms. Lobrano's communications with other persons and government agencies regarding her insurance claim. State Farm contends that there is "no question" that Ms. Lobrano is in possession of responsive materials because Ms. Lobrano testified that she has documents reflecting communications with government agencies "like FEMA" as well as a "Mississippi grant." Motion at 3, citing Lobrano dep at 102-105. Ms. Lobrano was referring to applications for grants she filed with FEMA and the state of Mississippi. The funds Ms. Lobrano may have received from government agencies to assist her recovery from the damage her home sustained during Hurricane Katrina are not relevant. Moreover, Ms. Lobrano had no communications with any government agencies relating to the McIntosh claim or the allegations in this lawsuit, and the Rigsbys had no involvement in Ms. Lobrano's grant applications. As such, any documents Ms. Lobrano may have are simply not relevant.

<u>Request 7 and 10:</u> All rental agreements relating to living spaces for the Lobrano family and all canceled checks or bank statements indicating payments to Payton properties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Given the fact that State Farm adjusted Ms. Lobrano's insurance claim, issued payments under her homeowner and flood policies, and defended and settled a litigation brought by Ms. Lobrano, any claim that State Farm needs still further evidence relating to the damage Ms. Lobrano's claim sustained is dubious.

Ms. Lobrano is not in possession of any canceled checks or bank statements indicating payments to Payton Properties. State Farm already has the rental agreement between Ms. Lobrano and her daughters, and State Farm questioned Ms. Lobrano about that agreement during her deposition. Ex. A at 156:19 - 159:20. The only other potentially responsive document is an email from Tammy Hardison attaching a sample residential lease contract. Ms. Lobrano will produce that document. There is nothing else to compel.

#### Request 8: All records relating to video rentals from December 2005 to May 2006

Ms. Lobrano's video rental records during that period are unavailable. The video store where Ms. Lobrano rented "*The Insider*" is no longer in business. Ms. Lobrano contacted the corporate organization of that video store and was told they do not keep video rental records on file for more than 18 months. There is nothing to compel.

# <u>Request No. 9</u>: All telephone records including home and telephone records from December 2005 to May 2006.

Ms. Lobrano is not in possession of any telephone records during that period.

## <u>Request No. 11-13</u>: All documents reflecting communications with Tammy Hardison, Dana Lee, Dreaux Seghers, or Brian Ford after Hurricane Katrina

This request is overbroad because it seeks *all* communications with these individuals, and not communications within a limited topic. Ms. Lobrano is not in possession of any communications with any of these individuals related to this litigation or even her insurance claim against State Farm. Ms. Lobrano will produce the email from Tammy Hardison attaching a draft lease agreement.

<u>Request No. 14-15:</u> All Documents reflecting or relating to payments or reimbursements by Dick Scruggs or his associates either to Ms. Lobrano or on behalf of Cori or Kerri Rigsby.

Ms. Lobrano's Objections stated that the only payment she received was as a result of her individual policyholder litigation against State Farm. That payment was made by State Farm and to Ms. Lobrano in settling the lawsuit she brought following Hurricane Katrina. Richard Scruggs and the other identified entities did not make any payments to Ms. Lobrano either directly or on behalf of the Rigsbys. Again, there is nothing to compel.

#### Other items identified by State Farm

State Farm has identified 3 other items that it believes Ms. Lobrano has, and that it alleges are responsive to their subpoena. First, State Farm identifies an e-mail from Richard Scruggs' assistant that Ms. Lobrano mentioned during her deposition. Motion at 4. Ms. Lobrano testified that she received a message from Scruggs' secretary attempting to set up a meeting between Ms. Lobrano and Mr. Scruggs. Ex. A at 90:4-17. This email is not responsive to State Farm's subpoena because it does not relate to any payments or reimbursements made by Scruggs or his associates. However, Ms. Lobrano has produced the email to avoid further dispute.

Second, State Farm mentions the laptop computer Ms. Lobrano described on pages 39-43 of her deposition transcript, and her PC computer described on pages 35 to 36 of her deposition transcript. As explained above, in response to request Nos. 4 and 5. Ms. Lobrano no longer has her laptop computer – an old computer that Ms. Lobrano has not used for some years.

Ms. Lobrano's PC computer is not responsive to State Farm's subpoena and she should not be compelled to produce it. Ms. Lobrano purchased her PC computer in late 2008 or early 2009. It does not contain any information related to State Farm, the McIntosh claim, her

insurance claim, or Hurricane Katrina. The fact that Ms. Lobrano mentioned owning a computer during her deposition surely does not entitle State Farm to acquire that computer by subpoena, particularly since Ms. Lobrano has no objection to producing responsive materials that happen to be on that computer. Ms. Lobrano's husband uses the computer in connection with his medical practice, and it contains patient records and other information that is private and protected by a doctor patient privilege that exists between Dr. Lobrano and his patients. Given that this computer does not contain or store documents responsive to State Farm's subpoena, Ms. Lobrano should not be required to produce it simply because she mentioned owning it in her deposition.

THIS the 1st day of June, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ C. Maison Heidelberg

C. MAISON HEIDELBERG, MB #9559 mheidelberg@heidelbergharmon.com GINNY Y. KENNEDY, MB #102199 gkennedy@heidelbergharmon.com OF COUNSEL:

HEIDELBERG HARMON PLLC. 795 Woodlands Parkway, Suite 220 Ridgeland, Mississippi 39157 Phone No. (601) 351-3333 Fax No. (601) 956-2090

August J. Matteis, Jr. (admitted *pro hac vice*) matteisa@gotofirm.com
Craig J. Litherland (admitted *pro hac vice*) litherlandc@gotofirm.com
Benjamin Davidson (admitted *pro hac vice*) davidsonb@gotofirm.com

GILBERT LLP 1100 New York Avenue NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20005 Phone No. (202) 772-2200

Fax No. (202) 772-3333

Attorneys for Kerri Rigsby and Cori Rigsby

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, C. Maison Heidelberg, attorney for Cori Rigsby and Kerri Rigsby, do hereby certify that I have this day caused the foregoing document to be filed with the Court's CM/ECF system, which will cause notice to be delivered to all counsel of record.

Don Burkhalter, Esq.
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
FOR MISSISSIPPI
188 East Capitol Street, Suite 500
Jackson, MS 39201

Felicia Adams, Esq. ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY 188 East Capitol Street, Suite 500 Jackson, MS 39201

Joyce R. Branda, Esq.
Patricia R. Davis, Esq.
Jay D. Majors, Esq.
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Commercial Litigation Branch
Civil Division
601 D Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Larry G. Canada, Esq.
Kathryn Breard Platt, Esq.
Galloway, Johnson, Tompkins, Burr & Smith
701 Poydras Street, Suite 4040
New Orleans, LA 70139
(p) 504-525-6802
ATTORNEYS FOR HAAG ENGINEERING CO.

Robert C. Galloway, Esq.
Emerson Barney Robinson, III, Esq.
Benjamin M. Watson, Esq.
Jeffrey A. Walker, Esq.
Amanda B. Barbour, Esq.
BUTLER, SNOW, O'MARA,
STEVENS & CANNADA, PLLC
P.O. Box 22567
Jackson, MS 39225
(p) 601-948-5711

Michael B. Beers, Esq.
BEERS, ANDERSON, JACKSON
PATTY & FALWAL, PC
250 Commerce Street, Suite 100
Montgomery, AL 36104
(p) 334-834-5311
ATTORNEYS FOR STATE FARM MUTUAL
INSURANCE COMPANY

Robert D. Gholson GHOLSON BURSON ENTREKIN & ORR, P.A. 55 North 5th Avenue P.O. Box 1289 Laurel, MS 39441-1289 ATTORNEYS FOR FORENSIC ANALYSIS ENGINEERING CORPORATION

/s/ C. Maison Heidelberg

### Exhibit A

		Page 1		
1				
2	SOUTHERN DIVISION			
3				
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ex rel., CORI RIGSBY and			
5	KERRI RIGSBY RELATORS/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS			
6				
7	VERSUS CIVIL ACTION NO: 1:06cv433-LTS-RHW			
8	STATE FARM MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY DEFENDANT/COUNTER-PLAINTIFF			
9				
10	AND			
11	FORENSIC ANALYSIS ENGINEERING CORPORATION; HAAG ENGINEERING			
12	CO. AND ALEXIS KING DEFENDANTS			
13				
14	VIDEOUNDED DEDOCTUTON OF DAUDICIA LODDANO			
15	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF PATRICIA LOBRANO			
16				
17	Taken at the offices of Butler, Snow, O'Mara, Stevens & Cannada, PLLC, 1300			
18	25th Avenue, Suite 204, Gulfport, Mississippi, on Thursday, April 8, 2010,			
19	beginning at 9:02 a.m.			
20				
21	REPORTED BY:			
22				
23	F. Dusty Burdine, CSR No. 1171 Simpson Burdine & Migues			
24	Post Office Box 4134 Biloxi, Mississippi 39535			
	dusty@sbmreporting.com			
25	(228) 388-3130			

-		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	C. MAISON HEIDELBERG, ESQUIRE Maison Heidelberg, P.A.	
3	795 Woodlands Parkway, Suite 220	
4	Ridgeland, Mississippi 39157 ATTORNEY FOR CORI RIGSBY, KERRI	
_	RIGSBY AND PATRICIA LOBRANO	
5	JEFFREY A. WALKER, ESQUIRE	
6	AMANDA B. BARBOUR, ESQUIRE Butler, Snow, O'Mara, Stevens	
7	& Cannada, PLLC	
8	1020 Highland Colony Parkway, Suite 1400 Ridgeland, Mississippi 39158	
	ATTORNEYS FOR STATE FARM MUTUAL	
9	INSURANCE COMPANY	
10	KATHRYN BREARD PLATT, ESQUIRE Galloway, Johnson, Tompkins, Burr & Smith	
11	2510 14th Street, Suite 910	
12	Gulfport, Mississippi 39501 ATTORNEY FOR HAAG ENGINEERING	
13		
14	ALSO PRESENT:	
15	KERRI RIGSBY	
16		
17	VIDEO TECHNICIAN:	
18	ED HARDING	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S		Page 3
2			
3	Examination by:	Page	
4	Mr. Walker	8	
	Exhibits:		
5	Exhibit 1, Objections to Subpoena		
6	issued to Patricia Lobrano, dated 4/6/10	6	
7	Exhibit 2, Subpoena	27	
8		<b>4</b> /	
9	Exhibit 3, Relators' Evidentiary Disclosure Pursuant to		
10	31 USC ° 3730	113	
11	Exhibit 4, Residential Lease Agreement	156	
12	Stipulation	4	
13	Certificate of Reporter	199	
14	Errata Sheet	200	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

- 1 is nothing in Number 13. And Number 14, you have
- 2 a copy of the only document that exists in that
- 3 one. And there are no documents in 15.
- 4 Q. What document are you referring to in
- 5 14?
- 6 A. I believe my settlement check for the
- 7 settlement, the class action.
- 8 Q. Anything else?
- 9 A. Number 1, there are no documents that
- 10 exist.
- 11 O. Were there documents that existed?
- 12 A. No. I don't believe there ever were any
- 13 documents. We all lived together for a year, so
- 14 there would be no e-mails to communicate. And
- 15 then after we didn't live together, we spoke on
- 16 the phone, but we never talked in detail on the
- 17 phone about anything that pertains to this case.
- 18 Q. Let me ask you this question: In
- 19 determining whether you had materials to give us
- in response to this subpoena, did you contact
- 21 Kerri or Cori and ask them if they had any
- 22 documents that were responsive to the subpoena?
- 23 A. I did ask them do they remember any
- 24 e-mails. I said, I can't remember any, can you.
- 25 And they said, no, I don't think we ever e-mailed

- 1 each other.
- 2 O. Kerri told you that?
- 3 A. Kerri and Cori. They don't, either one,
- 4 recollect that we ever e-mailed any information.
- 5 The only thing we ever have e-mailed to each other
- 6 that we could remember is occasionally if I'm
- 7 reading an interesting article or something
- 8 pertaining to the case over the last few years, I
- 9 might forward it to them on e-mail, but that would
- 10 be the only thing. It would be forwarded usually
- 11 without any comments, just an FYI.
- 12 Q. Okay. So to make sure I understand your
- 13 testimony -- first of all, would all of the e-mail
- 14 communications from August 29, 2005 to the present
- 15 between you and Kerri or Cori have been through
- 16 your AOL account?
- 17 A. Well, yes. That's the only account I've
- 18 ever had, but I didn't have a computer that first
- 19 year, you know. I lived with them and I used
- 20 their computer, so I wouldn't have e-mailed them
- 21 on their own computer. So --
- Q. Okay. When you -- whose computer did
- 23 you use when you lived with them?
- 24 A. Whatever computer was sitting in their
- 25 office, I suppose. Their computer, I might use

- 1 though, your answer can stand.
- 2 MR. WALKER:
- No, no. We want to make sure you get it
- 4 right.
- 5 MR. HEIDELBERG:
- 6 Well, let's clarify that to make sure.
- 7 I don't know if you're talking about e-mails or
- 8 computer -- you've already asked her about her
- 9 computer still standing.
- 10 MR. WALKER:
- 11 Q. The hardware, the computer itself.
- 12 A. What are you asking?
- 13 Q. Since Hurricane Katrina, okay, have you
- 14 either stopped using or destroyed a personal
- 15 computer that you owned?
- 16 A. Stopped using?
- 17 Q. Right. As in it's sitting over there in
- 18 the corner gathering dust, but you still have it.
- 19 A. Okay. I have an old laptop that I had
- 20 that I don't use anymore, and I don't know when
- 21 I've used it. I had it with my former job like in
- 22 the '90s, so I don't know that it's even working.
- Q. What kind of laptop is that?
- A. I don't remember.
- Q. All right. We'll just call that the

- 1 laptop, if that's all right with you.
- 2 A. And I think I still have it, so --
- 3 Q. Did you use -- have you used the laptop
- 4 since Hurricane Katrina?
- 5 A. I don't believe, but I can't tell you
- 6 for sure.
- 7 Q. Okay. Does Dr. Lobrano have a personal
- 8 computer or laptop or anything like that that you
- 9 might have used from time to time?
- 10 A. He and I use the same one at home.
- 11 MR. HEIDELBERG:
- Jeff, when you get to a stopping point,
- 13 let's take a break.
- 14 MR. WALKER:
- We'll stop right now. That's fine.
- 16 Let's go off the record.
- 17 VIDEO TECHNICIAN:
- The time is 9:42. We're off the record
- 19 (Off the record.)
- 20 VIDEO TECHNICIAN:
- The time is 10:03. We're on the record.
- 22 MR. WALKER:
- 23 Q. Mrs. Lobrano, we had a short break. Is
- 24 there anything that you thought of during the
- 25 break that causes you to want to change or modify

- 1 agreement?
- 2 MR. WALKER:
- 3 Yes.
- 4 A. The only e-mail I ever remember getting
- 5 from his office was from his secretary after I had
- 6 tried to contact him for an appointment and we had
- 7 miscommunicated. The secretary thought she had
- 8 sent him a message that I had tried to contact him
- 9 back in the fall.
- 10 And so I think in February, she e-mailed
- 11 me when she realized that he had not contacted me.
- 12 And she said, would you like to -- Dick is coming
- in town; would you like for me to set up a meeting
- 14 with him. And I do remember that e-mail, so I got
- 15 that e-mail from her. And there may have been
- 16 little things like that, but that's the only one I
- 17 can recall.
- 18 MR. WALKER:
- 19 Q. That would have been to your AOL
- 20 account?
- 21 MR. HEIDELBERG:
- 22 Same objection. Do we have our
- 23 agreement?
- 24 MR. WALKER:
- 25 Yes.

- 1 A. From the house -- from the street? Yes.
- 2 Yeah, I'm sure I did. I don't know.
- 3 Q. Were these Polaroid pictures?
- 4 A. No. I don't think so.
- 5 Q. What kind of camera did you have?
- 6 A. I don't even recall.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. And I'm not sure I took a picture
- 9 walking down the street. But just -- when I got
- 10 there, I did take some pictures, and I would
- 11 assume it was then because I think -- and, you
- 12 know, I'm saying that and we went back out there,
- 13 so I might have taken them the second trip out.
- 14 I'm not sure. And then I took more pictures. I
- 15 took thousands of pictures of the house, so I
- 16 don't remember if we had them that very first
- 17 time.
- 18 Q. Where are those pictures now?
- 19 A. I've turned a lot of them over in my
- 20 claims report, so --
- Q. Where are the pictures that you didn't
- 22 turn over to State Farm?
- 23 A. I think they would be probably on my
- 24 computer.
- Q. Okay. And I'll ask you not to delete

Page 156 1 Prior to it being unsealed. 2 MR. HEIDELBERG: 3 Can you give us a date of when that was or let her know? 4 5 MS. BARBOUR: 6 Wasn't it like August '07? 7 MR. WALKER: 8 Ο. Yeah. August 2007. 9 Α. I don't recall. 10 Q. All right. Do you recall Cori or Kerri 11 ever discussing in your presence to third parties 12 other than your attorneys the fact that the qui 13 tam lawsuit had been filed under seal? 14 Α. No, I don't. 15 MR. WALKER: 16 This is 4. 17 (Exhibit 4 was marked.) 18 MR. WALKER: 19 Ο. Mrs. Lobrano, I'm going to hand you a 20 copy of a document that's been marked as Exhibit 21 4, and it purports to be a copy of a lease 22 agreement between William and Patricia Lobrano and 23 Payton Properties. I'll ask you if you can 24 identify that for me, please, ma'am? 25 Α. That's my handwriting, uh-huh.

- 1 Q. Okay. What is Payton Properties?
- 2 A. That was our -- our lease agreement
- 3 title.
- 4 Q. Well, yeah. I mean, Payton Properties
- 5 was the landlord, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And you and Dr. Lobrano were the
- 8 tenants, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. All right. And this was for -- this is
- 11 a lease agreement for Kerri Rigsby's house at Rue
- 12 Tonti, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Now, tell me, as best you
- 15 can recall, how it came to be that you entered
- 16 into this lease agreement.
- 17 A. Okay. We were living in the trailer and
- 18 it was getting rather cramped. And we looked
- 19 around and knew that Kerri was alone in her big
- 20 house, and we approached -- in the meantime, there
- 21 was no place to rent, okay, in Ocean Springs or
- 22 even all the way down the Coast. There was
- 23 nothing to rent. And we didn't see how we could
- 24 live in her little trailer that much longer.
- 25 And so I was covered. I had ALE, and I

- 1 knew I could rent something for a while. And so I
- 2 proposed to Kerri that we'd like to rent a section
- 3 of her house and -- since we had the ALE. And so
- 4 we decided that we could do that and -- but Lecky
- 5 King had told the girls that when she assigned my
- 6 house to one of the adjusters, that under no
- 7 circumstances were they to let that adjuster know
- 8 that I was their mother because she felt like it
- 9 would give a lot of added pressure to him. And
- 10 she wanted this to be objective, and we understood
- 11 and we agreed with her.
- 12 So -- and I had to send everything
- 13 through my adjuster for approval, my ALE.
- 14 Everything had to go through him so he would
- 15 approve the ALE payments.
- 16 Q. And just so the record is clear, I don't
- 17 mean to interrupt you, but who was your adjuster
- 18 at this time?
- 19 A. That was Jamie Woody, was his name.
- 20 O. Okay. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 21 A. And so we didn't know how I could pay
- 22 rent without him finding out I was their mother,
- 23 if I was paying Kerri rent. So we just sort of
- 24 thought, well, we're going to have to do it under
- 25 a name that he won't recognize.

- 1 And so Lecky King's granddaughter's name
- 2 was Payton and Kerri's puppy's name was Payton,
- 3 and we said, we'll just do Payton Properties. And
- 4 I checked with my banker to make sure I could do
- 5 that. And later, I think I asked an attorney if
- 6 that was okay, did I do the right thing, because I
- 7 had already done it, but they said, sure, you can
- 8 do that.
- 9 And so since Lecky knew and people at
- 10 State Farm knew that I was living at Kerri's
- 11 house, we did it that way to protect Jamie Woody
- 12 from finding out because we knew that would not
- 13 be good.
- Q. So were you present when this
- 15 conversation allegedly took place between Kerri
- 16 and Lecky King?
- 17 A. I wasn't sure it was Kerri, but it was
- 18 the girls.
- 19 O. I'm sorry. Who was it?
- 20 A. One of the girls.
- 21 Q. You don't remember whether it was Kerri
- 22 or Cori?
- 23 A. No, no. I just know they said Lecky was
- 24 adamant that Jamie should not know I was -- and
- 25 she was -- she picked my adjuster. I don't think