

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
HATTIESBURG DIVISION**

LEE YOUNG and CHARLES MIKHAIL

PLAINTIFFS

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:09cv669-KS-MTP

**RICHARD SCRUGGS, individually; SMDB, INC.,
Directly and as successor in interest to SCRUGGS,
MILLETTE BOZEMAN AND DENT a/k/a SMDB,
and as successor in interest to SCRUGGS LEGAL,
P.A.; and DOE DEFENDANTS 1-20**

DEFENDANTS

**PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS**

Plaintiffs Lee Young and Charles Mikhail file this Opposition Brief to the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, as follows:

1. Plaintiffs have sufficiently served process on Defendant Richard F. Scruggs under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Mississippi Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Kentucky Rules of Civil Procedure. See Exhibit "A" (return receipt for certified mail on Defendant Scruggs at FCI Ashland-Federal Correctional Institution in Ashland, Kentucky).

2. Plaintiffs have stated a claim upon which relief can be granted under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO):

- a. Plaintiffs have sufficiently alleged that Defendants engaged in a pattern of racketeering activity;
- b. Plaintiffs have sufficiently alleged that the predicate acts committed by Defendants were related to the conduct of a RICO enterprise; and
- c. Plaintiffs have standing to assert their RICO claims.

3. In the alternative, should this Court find that Plaintiffs have failed to sufficiently allege a claim under RICO, Plaintiffs request leave to file an Amended Complaint.

4. Plaintiff's claims regarding payment of the judgment in *Luckey v. Scruggs* are not barred by the statute of limitations.

5. Should this Court dismiss the Plaintiffs' federal claims, the Court should nevertheless retain jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' remaining state-law claims.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss be denied. In the alternative, Plaintiffs request leave to amend their Complaint should the Court find any of Plaintiffs' claims are insufficiently pled.

Respectfully submitted, this the 4th day of January, 2010.

LEE YOUNG and CHARLES MIKHAIL,
Plaintiffs

BY: /s/ James R. Reeves, Jr.

JAMES R. REEVES, JR. (MSB #9519)
MATTHEW G. MESTAYER (MSB #9646)
Lumpkin, Reeves & Mestayer, PLLC
P. O. Drawer 1388
Biloxi, MS 39533
Telephone: 228/374-5151
Fax: 228/374-6630

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, undersigned counsel, do hereby certify that I have this 4th day of January, 2010, electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the ECF system which sent notification of such filing to the following counsel of record:

J. Cal Mayo, Jr.
Pope S. Mallette
Paul B. Watkins
Mayo Mallette PLLC
2094 Old Taylor Road
5 University Office Park
P. O. Box 1456
Oxford, MS 38655
cmayo@mayomallette.com
pmallette@mayomallette.com
pwatkins@mayomallette.com

/s/ James R. Reeves, Jr. _____

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Richard F. Scruggs
 FCI Ashland
 Federal Correctional Institution
 Reg. No. 12734-042
 State Route 718
 P.O. Box 6001
 Ashland, KY 41105

2. Article Number

(Transfer from service label)

7008 1830 0003 1619 3879

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

[Handwritten Signature] Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

[Handwritten Name]

C. Date of Delivery

*[Handwritten Date]*D. Is delivery address different from item 1? YesIf YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type

 Certified Mail Express Mail Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)

 Yes