IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

DONALD ROBOHM and NATALIE ROBOHM,

PLAINTIFFS

VERSUS

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 1:08CV490-LTS-RHW

STATE FARM FIRE & CASUALTY COMPANY; STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY and STATE FARM JOHN DOES, One through Fifteen

DEFENDANTS

MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OR ARGUMENT THAT EXPERT TESTIMONY IS NECESSARY TO PROVE EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

COME NOW the Plaintiffs, by and through counsel, and move the Court *in limine* to enter an Order prohibiting the Defendant, State Farm Fire & Casualty Company ('State Farm') their counsel, representatives, agents, employees, or witnesses from referencing or attempting to utilize at trial of this cause any evidence, demonstrative evidence, documents or argument, and from attempting to solicit any testimony for the purpose of demonstrating that expert testimony showing actual harm is necessary to prove mental anguish or emotional distress.

In support of this Motion in Limine, Plaintiffs would state unto the Court the following, to-wit:

- 1. The following facts are undisputed:
- a. In their Complaint, Plaintiffs have alleged, among other things, that Plaintiffs suffered emotional distress as a result of the actions of Defendant.
- b. During the course of discovery in this matter, Defendant has taken the deposition of the parties at which time the treating physician(s) information was provided to the

Defendant.

c. The Plaintiffs disclosed the facts surrounding their emotional distress in Core

Disclosures, Interrogatory Responses, Requests for Production Responses and during their

respective depositions.

2. The Mississippi Supreme Court has clarified the burden for recovery of mental anguish

and emotional distress in breach of contract cases: "Plaintiffs may recover such damages without

proof of a physical manifestation. Furthermore, expert testimony showing actual harm to prove

mental injury is not always required." University of Southern Miss v. Williams, 891 So.2d 160,

172-73 ¶31 (Miss. 2004).

WHEREFORE PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiffs pray that this honorable Court

enter an order prohibiting Defendants, their counsel, representatives, agents, employees, or

witnesses from referencing or attempting to utilize at trial of this cause any evidence,

demonstrative evidence, documents or argument, and from attempting to solicit any testimony

for the purpose of demonstrating that expert testimony showing actual harm is necessary to prove

mental anguish or emotional distress. Plaintiffs prays for such other and further relief as may be

deemed appropriate.

Respectfully submitted, this the 19th day of January, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

LUCKEY & MULLINS, PLLC

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: /s/Stephen W. Mullins

STEPHEN W. MULLINS (MSB #9772)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Stephen W. Mullins, counsel for Plaintiffs, do hereby certify that I have this

2

Case 1:08-cv-00490-LTS-RHW Document 89 Filed 01/19/2010 Page 3 of 3

day electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of this Court using the ECF system which sent notification of such filing to all Counsel of Record in this Court.

This, the 19th day of January, 2010.

/s/Stephen W. Mullins STEPHEN W. MULLINS