IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

ROBERT R. GAGNÉ

PLAINTIFF

V.

CASE NUMBER 1:06-cv-00711-LTS-RHW

STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY & EXPONENT, INC., ET AL.

DEFENDANTS

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER RULINGS IN PRIOR CASES ON THE EFFECT OF AN INSURED'S CASHING CHECKS OFFERED BY STATE FARM DRAWN ON FEDERAL FLOOD INSURANCE FUNDS

COMES NOW, Plaintiff Robert R. Gagné, by and through counsel of record and files his Motion to Reconsider Rulings in Prior Cases on the Effect of an Insured's Cashing Checks Offered by State Farm Drawn on Federal Flood Insurance Funds. In support of this motion, Plaintiff would show the court as follows:

- Robert Gagné purchased both a homeowners policy and flood insurance on his home and its contents through his State Farm agent. State Farm under administer both policies including the NFIP policy.
- 2. When Gagné's home was destroyed by Hurricane Katrina, he promptly contacted State Farm and reported the loss. In reporting this loss, he did not make any representations that any part of his home was destroyed or damaged by flood or by storm surge. He simply reported the loss and requested that State Farm send an adjuster out.
- 3. State Farm's adjuster made a decision to pay Gagné the limits of the federal flood policy without Gagné making any statement as to how his home was destroyed by the hurricane or submitting a sworn proof of loss or a claim form stating the cause of the loss. In fact,

Gagné noted in his September 1, 2005 application to FEMA to that his home was destroyed by wind. (See Exhibit 3, FEMA Application dated 9/1/05). Before Gagné accepted the check, State Farm's adjuster told Gagné that the check was just a way to quickly get him some of the money that would be due to him under one of the policies he had purchased through State Farm without having to wait for a determination of the cause of the loss. State Farm's adjuster assured Gagné that accepting the check would not affect his claim under the State Farm homeowner's policy in any way. She represented to Gagné that once an investigation and determination of the cause of the loss had been made, the money Gagné received would be allocated to the appropriate policy by State Farm and the remaining funds due would be paid from the appropriate policy. Gagné relied on these representations in accepting the check, particularly the representation that accepting the check would not be used against him in handling his claim under his homeowner's policy. (See Exhibit 1 Gagné deposition 6/6/07, pgs. 59-69; Exhibit 2 Gagné deposition 7/21/08, pgs. 30-32 & 137-138; and Exhibit 4, Adjuster Rachael Savoy's Admission in deposition on 6/17/08, pgs. 147-148).

4. In some recent Hurricane Katrina insurance cases, this court has made statements and rulings indicating that where plaintiffs have been paid flood insurance benefits, they may be estopped from denying that their insured property was damaged by storm surge to the extent of the amount of the flood benefits paid. This court has said this estoppel is based on the fact that receipt of flood insurance benefits constitutes an admission that some damage was caused to their homes by flooding. See e.g., *Holmes v. Meritplan Ins. Co.*, Civil Action No. 1:07cv680-LTS- RHW, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 87798 (SD Miss.

- October 16, 2008) and cases cited therein.
- 5. Gagné respectfully requests this court to reconsider its earlier rulings, at least to the extent that those rulings might be used to limit evidence Gagné may seek to introduce tending to show that his home was a total loss as a result of covered perils prior to the arrival of the storm surge. Gagné does not believe that the plaintiffs in the cases involving the prior rulings on this point have brought to the court's attention the appropriate law regarding the prerequisites for either precluding a party from taking a particular position or offering evidence in support of that position under the law concerning either judicial admissions or judicial estoppel nor have they had such compelling factual testimony including an admission by State Farm's flood adjuster.
- 6. In addition to the arguments made in the memorandum in support of this motion not being brought to the court's attention in the prior cases, Gagné believes that recent 5th Circuit decisions discussed in the supporting memorandum addressing judicial admissions and judicial estoppel decided after at least some of this court's decisions, and not referenced in any of the prior decisions, indicate that a reconsideration of this issue is appropriate.
- 7. Robert Gagné is not seeking to recover from both policies for a similar loss. He simply wants State Farm to live up to their promise to him. If a determination is made by the finder of fact that the entire home was destroyed by covered losses under his homeowner's policy, he will expect the court to direct State Farm to reimburse the NFIP program for the funds they improperly paid him prior to (by their own admission) determining causation of the loss of his property.

WHEREFORE PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff Robert Gagné requests this court to enter an order precluding State Farm from asserting that Gagné is estopped or precluded from offering evidence tending to establish prior to the arrival of the storm surge, his property suffered a total loss from covered perils. Gagné also requests this court to enter a ruling that Gagné may introduce evidence concerning the representations made by State Farm's adjustor when it delivered the check drawn on federal flood insurance funds to Gagné. Finally, Gagné requests that the finder of fact make a determination as to cause of the loss of his property and that the appropriate policies of insurance be either credited or debited with monies based upon the Court's ultimate causation determinations.

Respectfully submitted this 4th day of December, 2008.

Robert Gagné, Plaintiff

By: William F. Merlin, Jr.
William F. Merlin, Jr., MSB 102390
777 S. Harbour Island Blvd., Ste 950
Tampa, FL 33602
(813) 229-1000

wmerlin@merlinlawgroup.com
/s/ Jesse B. Hearin, III

Jesse B. Hearin, III, PHV USDC, So. Dist. Bar 44802 La. State Bar 22422 1009 Carnation St. Ste E

Slidell, LA 70460 Tel: (985) 639-3377

jbhearin@gmail.com

4

By:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Robert R. Gagné, by and through counsel, hereby certify that

I filed the foregoing PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER RULINGS IN PRIOR

CASES ON THE EFFECT OF AN INSURED'S CASHING CHECKS OFFERED BY

STATE FARM DRAWN ON FEDERAL FLOOD INSURANCE FUNDS with the Clerk of

the Court using the ECF system which will send notification of such filing to ECF participants of

record.

SO NOTICED this 4th day of December, 2008.

By:

/s/ Jesse B. Hearin, III___

Jesse B. Hearin, III

5

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

ROBERT R. GAGNE,

Plaintiff,

V. CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:06-cv-00711-LTS-RHW

STATE FARM FIRE & CASUALTY COMPANY and JOHN DOES 1 - 10,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF ROBERT R. GAGNE

Taken at the offices of Donald J. Rafferty, located at 2118 - 18th Street, Gulfport, Mississippi, on Wednesday, June 6, 2007, beginning at 10:13 a.m.

REPORTED BY:

SANDI L. SUAREZ, RPR, CSR #1301 State-Wide Reporters, A LegaLink Company Post Office Box 14113 (39236) 4400 Old Canton Road, Suite 160 Jackson, Mississippi 39211 Telephone: (601) 366-9676 Fax: (601) 366-9756 1(800)372-DEPO www.legalink.com Page 58

6

10

20

1

Washington, come back, and you were living in the

2 house in Diamondhead. So you had a little bit more

time for it to sink in what might have happened.

4 When you met with Rachel, did you have any

5 impression or feeling about what had happened?

A. Why me?

Q. Excuse me, what?

R A. Why me?

Q. Yes, sir.

10 A. I think you were asking about wind versus

11 water. 12

6

7

9

3

13

14

18

Q. Yes, sir.

13 A. And that wasn't a conscious thing.

14 Because of the lack of wood in the neighborhood and

we knew that water had been high, it was clear that 15

16 any wood had been carried away by the water, or may

17 have been carried away by the water. There was deep

18 gouging in one corner of the lot in my house which

clearly was caused by water. But in terms of cause 19

20 of -- there was no consciousness whatsoever. As far

21 as I was concerned, State Farm was handling it, and

22 I was in good hands.

23 Q. I understand. Well, as we sit here today,

24 do you have a -- based on all the information that

you've gathered and the people that you've talked

Page 60

that all of the structure of the house was torn down by wind before water arrived. Is that --

Q. Yes, sir, you're answering my question. And you'll have to forgive me for not phrasing it 5 better.

I guess my point is: You did have a flood policy on this property and you did - I don't know if we've discussed that. Did you collect policy limits or -- or what kind of money did you get from your flood policy; do you remember?

11 A. I got \$250,000 plus a hundred thousand 12 dollars for contents.

13 Q. I'm going to ask you if you agree that that would be policy limits on that policy that was 14 15 issued you?

16 A. That was my understanding.

17 Q. So you did receive that money from -based on flood damage to your home, did you not? 19 MR. HEARIN:

Object to the form of the question there.

21 A. I did receive that money.

22 BY MS. HARRIS:

23 Q. Do you have --

24 A. But I didn't receive it on the basis of anything. I just received the money.

Page 59

1 to, do you have an opinion, an impression or a 2 feeling about what happened to your house?

A. The house was totally destroyed by wind. Subsequently, water came on the property and carried away floatable debris, including things that I might have been able to recover if water had not come

7 along, like some of the appliances and stuff like 8 that.

9 Q. So is it your claim then that there was no 10 water damage to your home? Are we talking about 11 water damage just to contents, things that could 12 float away?

A. That's a complex question.

Q. I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.

15 Do you believe, is it your claim that your 16 house received damage, was totally destroyed as a 17 result of wind?

A. Yes.

19 Q. Is it your claim that there was no water 20 damage to your home?

21 A. It's possible that tiles were blown off 22 the roof and some rainwater came through the roof before the rest of the house was destroyed. So if

24 you call that water damage, it's possible that 25 happened. I can't know that. What I am saying is Page 61

Q. You didn't receive it on the basis --

A. Let me volunteer something here. On

September 25th, I had a phone conversation with

Rachel Savoy. She called me. She said that she

needed a list of contents, an inventory, and that

she couldn't resolve that part until I did it, until

I prepared that, but that their intention was to pay me \$250,000 and a hundred thousand dollars on my

9 flood policy.

10 And I was surprised. And I said, I don't 11 understand. Are you saying that, you know, that's what I'm getting? She said, Oh, no, no, no. But we 12

13 won't resolve your claim until there's an

engineering inspection, and we get the report from

15 the engineering inspection. But we can go ahead and

16 do this right now, and then we'll resolve everything 17

18 And I had specifically asked, Well, gee, 19 does accepting this money mean anything about my saying what happened or what my full claim -- she

said, Absolutely not. This doesn't mean anything

except we're authorized to make these payments right 22 23

away. And so -- and I reminded her of that conversation, what she said when inspected. 24

I said, you know, this really surprises me

25

Page 62

2

3

18

22

25

5

8

because you said you didn't even see any sign of

water damage. She said, I would never say that.

3 Got very defensive. 4

So I challenged her right at that point. And she said, Don't worry, this doesn't mean

anything, and we're not going to decide any claim

until we get an inspection report from the engineers

who are going to come out to inspect your property.

9 It was a very memorable conversation.

10 And, subsequently, I did receive checks for 250,000 after submitting my inventory for a 11 12 hundred thousand.

13 Q. And the inventory that you submitted -- I 14 think I have that here -- this is part of the flood 15 claim materials that have been produced to you.

16 There's a Bates Number at the bottom.

17 MS. HARRIS:

18 I hope y'all have this. But -- and I can 19 certainly make it an exhibit. I have an extra copy

20 with a note to make it an exhibit.

21 MR. HEARIN:

22 We'd certainly have a copy of the attached 23 inventory, I know. And provided it to back to you at some point. But, you know, whether we have a 25 letter or not, I don't know.

Page 64

A. No.

Q. I didn't know how that happened.

Did you see someone enter those numbers?

A. They were subsequently provided to me, and I didn't understand a word of it.

Q. On the ones that we can agree that you did provide, are these numbers here - like, for instance, on Bates No. 100134, there's a

handwritten, looks like 15,498.35. Are those your

10 numbers? Did you make those totals? 11

A. No.

12 Q. So then it's your testimony that you

submitted these typed sheets that say, Prepared

October 9th, 2005, R. Gagne? 14 15

A. May I see this? 16 Q. You submitted those without the written 17 part?

A. That appears to be correct.

19 Q. Based on her request that you submit a 20 personal --

21 A. Right, contents inventory.

Q. -- property contents inventory sheet. So

23 you did that. And after that, you received your 24 \$100,000 contents?

A. Actually, I faxed that on October 9th, and

Page 63

BY MS. HARRIS:

2 Q. I'll reference the Bates Numbers, and I just want to see if this is the inventory. The date on this, though, is October 9th, 2005.

A. That's correct.

6 Q. And what did we say happened on September the 25th?

8 A. She asked for the inventory but told me 9 that they were going to be paying the full hundred thousand and the full 250, that that was their intent, but they couldn't pay the 100 until they got 11

12 the inventory to confirm things.

13 Q. I'm going to hand you this document -- and 14 we'll mark it. But it begins with -- it has the 15 flood Bates Number series with the claim number after that. And it begins with 100119. And it

17 looks like it goes to 100140. The same thing.

18 But can you just take a look at that and 19 tell me if that's the personal inventory list that you prepared based on that request? 20

21 A. The first part appears to be. The last 22 couple of pages are not my pages. They're State 23 Farm pages so I did not provide those.

24 Q. Do you know -- were you present when 25 that -- when those last two pages were prepared? Page 65

on October 10th the \$250,000 check arrived. So that -- and then, subsequently, on October 20th, I received a hundred thousand dollar check.

Q. Just tell me again what your understanding was about why you were getting that money.

A. They were authorized to make those payments. She said the federal government was encouraging them to make those payments as quickly as possible to facilitate Katrina recovery but that it had no ramifications with respect to settlement 11 of my claim with State Farm. It wasn't a finding or 12 anything.

13 I even had the impression that they could take that money back. But she emphasized -- she 14 15 didn't say that. She emphasized it had no bearing, that no decisions would be made on my claim until 17 after the engineers submitted their inspection 18 report.

19 Q. So did you say you had the impression that 20 they could take that money back?

21 A. I think I read between the lines. She 22 didn't say that.

23 Q. So did you --

24 A. But I had the impression was, this doesn't 25 mean anything; we're authorized to make these

Page

Page 69

Page 66

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

payments and your claim won't be settled until after we see the inspection report.

3 So, basically, don't read too much into 4 this.

Q. Yes, sir. Did you receive any other kind of assistance from FEMA or did you get a grant from the Mississippi Development Authority, anything like that, any other kind of monies?

9 A. I think I got about \$2,000 that FEMA was 10 distributing. That's all. I didn't apply for any other things. I think it was \$2,000 that everybody 12 automatically got after FEMA took a report. I'm not 13 even sure of that amount. You probably know better

14 than I do.

5

6

8

17

5

15

25

15 Q. We talked about the evidence of wind 16 damage that you saw.

A. No, we didn't.

18 Q. Maybe we didn't. We talked about the evidence of water damage to the corner of your 19

20 property, the gouging.

21 A. I said I saw some gouging on the property. 22

Q. I'm sorry if I -- I don't want to put

23 words in your mouth.

24 A. On the ground.

25 Q. On the ground? Was there ever a time when you looked at

your property for the purpose of trying to determine if something was wind damage?

A. Yes.

Q. When was that, the best that you can recall?

A. The very first day that the question came up was the day that State Farm's inspectors arrived.

9 State Farm sent an engineer and an architect to

10 inspect the property. And they said, Let us do our

job, and we'll talk to you afterwards. And then at 12

the end of the inspection, they said, Our report is going to report that the property was damaged by

14 wind and water. Huh?

Q. Okay.

16 A. And we then spent at least 20 minutes

17 talking about that, where they said that they

couldn't tell how much was water, how much was wind,

19 but they felt it was caused by both.

20 I asked their recommendations, since they were doing many inspections, on if I rebuilt what I 21

should do. And we spent a considerable amount of 23 time where they said build to high wind speeds.

They never said anything about elevating the

building or building to different water tolerances,

Page 67

1 A. I saw some gouging of the ground of the 2 soil.

3 Q. And do you believe that was caused by 4 water?

A. That appeared to be caused by water.

6 Q. Well, then let's do talk about what 7 evidence of wind damage that you saw at your property. Can you describe for me --

9 A. That's a very open-ended question.

10 MR. HEARIN:

11 I'm trying to figure out what time period 12 you're talking about. Are you asking him as he sits

13 here today or --

14 MS. HARRIS:

Sure. Absolutely.

16 BY MS. HARRIS:

17 Q. Well, we talked about when you were there 18 with Rachel and how it was a little bit overwhelming 19

for you and you weren't necessarily looking for --20 you didn't make a conscious decision to look for

21 wind or water damage that date, right?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. When would have been the first --

24 A. Nor am I an engineer.

Q. Oh, absolutely. Absolutely.

rather build to minimum 135, preferably 145 miles an

hour building standards so as to resist high winds. 3 So when they left, I got the impression

that they were focused on the wind damage, but I didn't understand what they said about wind and

water. As far as I was concerned, wind and water

7 meant whether any rain got through the shingles. 8

That was the very first time the question was raised 9 in my mind.

10 Q. And so at that point then did you - I 11 don't want to say "inspect" because I know you're not an engineer. But did you start looking at your 12

property --14 A. No.

13

15

17

Q. -- with respect to wind damage?

16 A. No.

Q. No?

18 A. I did exactly what State Farm said. I waited for State Farm to see the report of the experts. At that point, I absolutely believed I was 21

still being taken care of by State Farm and that 22

when the engineers reported the thing, that we would 23 resolve the claim. And I had no apprehension about 24

that at that stage, no reason to have any concern. 25 Q. The engineers that State Farm sent to do

Page 1 Page 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION Examination by: Page Mr. Ficenec 6 ROBERT R. GAGNE, Mr. Williams VERSUS NO. 1:06-CV-00711J-LTS-RHW 86 STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY Mr. Hearin 152 AND JOHN DOES 1-10, Defendants. Exhibit 7, Copy of E-mail prepared by Robert Gagne, dated 8/15/06 122 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MR. ROBERT GAGNE Exhibit 8, Chronological Order of Taken at the offices of Merlin Law Events Prepared by Robert Gagne 132 Group, 368 Courthouse Road, Gulfport. Mississippi, on Monday, July 21, 2008, Exhibit 9, Three Color Copy beginning at 10:09 a.m. Photographs APPEARANCES: JESSE B. HEARIN, III, ESQUIRE Hearin, LLC 1009 Carnation, Suite E Slidell, Louisiana 70460 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF WAYNE B. WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE Webb, Sanders & Williams, PLLC 363 N. Broadway Street Tupelo, Mississippi 38804 ATTORNEY FOR STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY Page 2 Page 4 STIPULATION APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED) It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties hereto, through JAMES J. FICENEC, ESQUIRE their respective attorneys of record, that Sellar, Hazard, Manning, Ficenec & Lai this deposition may be taken at the time and 1800 Sutter Street, Suite 460 Concord, California 94520 place hereinbefore set forth, by Brandi K. ATTORNEY FOR EXPONENT Senseney, Court Reporter and Notary Public, pursuant to the Mississippi Rules of Civil VIDEOGRAPHER: Procedure, as amended; BILL MILLER That the formality of READING AND REPORTED BY: 11 SIGNING is specifically NOT WAIVED; That all objections, except as to BRANDI SENSENEY, C.S.R. #1358 13 the form of the questions and the 14 responsiveness of the answers, are reserved 15 until such time as this deposition, or any 16 part thereof, may be used or is sought to be used in evidence.

В

8

1 1

12

13

14

15

16

1 7

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

8

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Page 32

evasive. But my mind, at that point, was a fairly positive one. State Farm was being a good neighbor and they were taking care of me, and they were going through the motions of what they needed to do to take care of me.

And I had not the foggiest notion of the significance of whether there was damage by wind or by water, because when I purchased my policies, State Farm told me I was completely covered by the various policies I had purchased and State Farm seemed to be behaving correctly, so. MR. WILLIAMS:

Objection; responsiveness. THE WITNESS:

State Farm seemed to-- State Farm seemed to be behaving correctly. And I was more concerned with, frankly, at that stage, just living my life day to day under the conditions and trying to help my company recover and my friends recover. So I had no perception at all what it meant. MR. FICENEC:

O. At the time of Mr. Thomas' visit,

that will determine the cause of destruction and, then, there will be a reconciliation of the various policies you have, homeowners policies versus flood policies. We can go into that discussion more if you wish later.

But it was very clear from that that at that stage State Farm had not determined what the cause of the destruction was and that State Farm was waiting for an engineering inspection to determine the cause of the destruction.

Based on that phone call, when the Calvin Thomas inspection was scheduled, I assumed that it was exactly what Ms. Savoy had been telling me, that the purpose was to determine the causation, of whether the destruction was caused by wind or water so that State Farm could then decide how the various policies would go into play.

- Q. Were you concerned that State Farm might ask you to give the money you received, under the flood policy, back?
- A. Oh, Ms. Savoy made it very clear that it would be reconciled and that might-sure, absolutely. The-- the flood money

Page 30

an an

did you have an understanding of why an inspection was necessary?

- A. I had a small understanding of that. And may I refer to the time line to make sure that I'm saying something correct?
 - Q. Sure.
- A. My understanding came totally from discussions I had with State Farm's first adjuster, Rachel Savoy. Early on, Ms. Savoy informed me that State Farm would be paying some money on my flood policy, and I was very confused by that. It seemed to contradict comments she had made when she did her inspection of the property.

And, so, I asked her what's going on here, how come you're paying me flood policy when you said you didn't even see any signs of water damage. She said oh, it's meaningless, it's just a way we're using to get you money quickly, we're authorized to give you money under this policy to get you some money quickly, we haven't determined the cause of destruction and we need an engineering inspection to do that.

After the engineering inspection,

could be given back and I would get homeowners instead or whatever.

- Q. At the time of that conversation, were you concerned that—that you might not be completely covered for the loss you had sustained?
- A. Excuse me, you mean the Rachel Savoy conversation?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. Not really. Again, I felt very good about State Farm at that point. They seemed to be on top of things, they seemed to be doing the right things. You know, I asked her about the flood money, you know, if I accept this am I agreeing to anything; no, absolutely not. Am I agreeing to a settlement. I questioned to her about how she calculated the value, how she said it's meaningless, doesn't mean anything, we're going to take care of this.

So they seemed to be doing all the right things, you know. Again, I had been sold policies that was-- so I would be fully taken care of. So I had no qualms at all. I was anxious to get the funds because of

5

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. You put in here ABC?
- A. Yea. And I seem to recall him coming from the north, maybe it was Washington, New York or somewhere. I knew--I didn't know of him. I guess some people knew of him, but I didn't know of him as a reporter or anything.
- Q. I noted on your time line that you received a two hundred fifty thousand dollar flood payment from State Farm on or about October the 10th; is that correct, of 2005?
- A. That's what I have in my notes, correct.
- Q. Do you want to refer to your notes?
- A. It says on October 10th, quote, two hundred fifty thousand dollars for structure and flood policy received from State Farm.
- Q. Then on October 20th your notes indicate that you received a hundred thousand dollars on your contents coverage under your flood policy, correct?
- A. That's what it says. MR. HEARIN:

MR. WILLIAMS: Q. Mr. Gagne, Mr. Ficenec asked you

Let me take a short break, Jesse.

- some questions about notes that you had kept and you referred him to some Manila folders that you had prepared, that's been stored notes and other documents that you prepared in conjunction with your claim. Do you still have those Manila folders?
- A. I think my attorney has everything.

(Off the record.)

- Q. How many of them did you have, approximately?
 - A. Oh, it's just one big folder.
- One big folder. Was it titled in some instance? Was it titled?
 - A. Blank folder. Blank folder.
- Q. Blank folder, okay. I'm just--I'm just curious because--
- A. It's the environment of post-Katrina. It was a miracle to even find a folder to put things in.
- Q. Okay. And he asked you about the inspection that FEMA conducted and I don't

Page 138

Page 140

I'm going to object to the extent that the payment of the flood money was-- you went into his first deposition adequately. MR. WILLIAMS:

Do what now?

MR. HEARIN:

He's been asked and answered this in the prior deposition, about the receiving of flood claims.

MR. WILLIAMS:

Sure. I just wanted to-- I wanted to lay a foundation for my next question before I asked this.

- Q. Have you repaid that money?
- A. No.
- Q. Do you have any intention to repay that money?
- A. The Court will tell me what to do. State Farm was supposed to tell me what to do, but State Farm lied to me and, then, didn't follow through on what they said they were going to do, so now I don't know what I'm supposed to do.

MR. WILLIAMS:

Objection to the responsiveness.

believe he asked you if you knew when the date of that inspection was?

- A. The answer is I don't know and I don't have it on this, which just concerns State Farm and Exponent, but I have given a copy to my counsel. I presume you received a copy of that.
- Q. I'm just asking your recollection about the date, if you know?
- A. It was in the fall of '05. I was still living in temporary housing, so I would guess it was about October of '05. But I'm just estimating here.
- Q. The only other thing I wanted to ask you about are three photographs which were attached as exhibits to another deposition in this particular case and ask you if you've seen those. Are you familiar with those photographs?
- A. There is a whole slew of photographs and I think these were included in the whole slew of photographs. This is certainly representative of the photographs I've seen of this subject, yes.
 - Q. Are you familiar with those three





Disaster No: 1604

Michael D. Brown
Under Secretary
Emergency Preparedness and Response

National Processing Service Center P.O. Box 10055 Hyattsville, MD 20782-7055 1-800-621-FEMA (3362) Fax No.: 1-800-827-8112

Date: 10/01/2005

FEMA Application No: 939701756

MR ROBERT R GAGNE 696 HIGHWAY 90 WAVELAND, MS 39576-2408

Dear Mr. Gagne:

Enclosed for your records is a copy of the <u>Application for Disaster Assistance</u> that you recently filed with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the agency that coordinates disaster assistance on behalf of the President.

Based on the information you provided during the registration interview, FEMA has identified certain disaster assistance programs that may be helpful to you. These are listed at the bottom of the Application form, item number 27. Administered by a variety of organizations, information on these and other programs can be found on the Additional Disaster Assistance Program Information Sheet, included in this mailing. You must contact these agencies directly to learn how their programs can help you.

If your home or business has been damaged by the disaster, you do not need to wait until the inspector visits the property before starting to clean up. We strongly suggest, however, that you make a list of your losses, take pictures, and keep <u>all</u> receipts to verify your disaster-related expenses.

Correct information

Please check the information on this form and call the FEMA Disaster Helpline at 1-800-621-FEMA (3362) (hearing/speech impaired ONLY call 1-800-462-7585) if you have any corrections. Please also make special note of your Registration ID located at the top of the form; this is your unique identifying number and you will need to refer to this number whenever you contact FEMA.

Disaster Recovery Centers/SBA Workshops

Disaster Recovery Centers may be opened to provide a central location for information on disaster assistance programs and tips on reducing damage from future disasters. To find out about the Disaster Recovery Center nearest to you, please call the FEMA Disaster Helpline at 1-800-621-FEMA (3362) (hearing/speech impaired ONLY call 1-800-462-7585). To inquire about the status of your application for assistance, you may either visit a Disaster Recovery Center or you may call the FEMA Disaster Helpline.

If you have been referred to the Small Business Administration (SBA) for a disaster loan (check item number 27on your Application for Disaster Assistance), you may wish to visit an SBA Workshop for help in completing the loan application. The workshop locations will be announced through your local news media.

Insurance

If you have any kind of insurance, you should contact your insurance agent as soon as possible. Because insurance proceeds are generally more comprehensive than Federal disaster assistance, these benefits are usually the best means of addressing your disaster-related needs.

If you have any questions, if you need housing right away and cannot find a place to stay, or if you would like more detailed information on the available assistance programs, please call the FEMA Disaster Helpline at 1-800-621-FEMA (3362) (hearing/speech impaired ONLY call 1-800-462-7585). We want to help in any way we can.

Sincerely,

9069CL

Individuals and Households Program Officer

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGE	_	-		No. Langu	age	
Application/Registration for Disas	93-9701756 Expire	Explication La, 2000		1604 Spanish		
1. Name (Last, First, MI) 2. Social Security Number 3. Date of						is
MR. GAGNE, ROBERT R 015-36-8794 8/29/2005						
Damaged phone number 4B. Cell phone number 4C. Current phone number 4D. Work phone number 4E. Email add						
(228) 255-4140 None (714) 906-3528 (228) 323-0752 mississipp						tist@y
5. Address of Damaged Property 320 PUUNANI PL		City DIAMONDHEAD		Zip+4	County	
1.10,01.0	-1-1: 45		MS 3	9525 - 3000	HANCOCK	
your home? Own primary residence? Yes House - Single/Duplex						'
10. Cause of Damages: Tornado/Wind						
11. Current Mailing address 13233 WEBRE RE).	BAY ST.LOUIS		MS	39520	
12. Auto Damage: Yes					03020	
13A. Was your home damaged by the disaster? Yes 13B.Personal property damaged? Yes 13C. Was the access to your home restricted? Due to Disaster 14. Are any of your essential utilities currently not working as a result of the disaster?						
15. Do you have any disaster-related essential needs for food, clothing or shelter?						
16. Do you own or lease a working farm or ranch that was affected by the disaster? (Does not include farm home)						
17. Do you own a business or rental property wi	ich was affected by the dis	aster? (Not farm			No	
18. Has anyone in your family lost work or become unemployed due to the disaster? (including self-employed) 19A. Did you have any disaster related medical expense? No Was it insured? Amount of loca?						
19B. Did you have any disaster related medical expense? No Was it insured? Amount of loss? 19B. Did you have any disaster related dental expense? No Was it insured? Amount of loss? 19C. Did you have any disaster related funeral expense? No Was it insured? 19D. Did you have any disaster related moving and storage expenses? No Were they covered by insurance?						
20. Other / Miscellaneous disaster related dama	ges	o were aley cov	ered by insurance	9 ?		
	-					
, ,						
21. Names of all persons living in home at the	time of disaster Relation	nship Social Security N	umber Age De	pendent		
GAGNE, ROBERT R TAGLIALAVORE, TONI J	Regist		4 57	Y	ŀ	
BARKER, JOEL A	Friend/R			<u>N</u>		
BARKER, CHRISTIAN	Friend/R					
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
22. Employer/Source of income: 23. Total	I number of dependents ci	imed 24. Would you like	to use the EET	notion if eligib	nle for	
	(including applicant): 1		assistance? (Electronic funds Transfer into you bank account) Yes			
Of Appendix disables in the second of the se						
The production group income for all file	inibers of the failing,	\$ 165000				
		es.				
27. You have been referred to the following sources for Disaster Aid. For more information, refer to the enclosed program guide as well						
as the disaster assistance program information sheet.						
\$ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\						
If you have any questions or feel our information	n is incorrect, please call the	e Disaster Halntino et	28 Date of 4	plicotte I	20 Infor	. 1
1-800-621-FEMA, or for the speech or hearing FEMA Form 90-69 APR 00	impaired only, call 1-800-4	52-7585	28. Date of Ap 09/01/200		29. Information taken INTERNET	py:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

ROBERT R. GAGNE

PLAINTIFF

VS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:06-CV-0711-S-W

STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY, ET AL.

DEFENDANTS

THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RACHAEL SAVOY

ORIGINAL

Taken on behalf of the Plaintiff, on June 17, 2008, at the offices of Copeland, Cook, Taylor & Bush, 1076 Highland Colony Pkwy, 600 Concourse, Suite 100, Red Oak Conference Room, Ridgeland, MS 39157, beginning at approximately 12:10 p.m.

Reported by: MARILYN C. REA, CSR 1059, RPR 036208

Q And it caused damage?

A That's what I couldn't determine because there was nothing left to see damage on.

Q Do you recall a conversation with Robert Gagne about the affects of accepting flood payments?

A Do I remember a conversation in regards to the affects of who?

Q Of him accepting payments under the flood program?

A Yes, sir, I do.

Q And what is your recollection of that conversation?

A I believe that he had asked if he accepted the flood payment did that mean that he was saying that there was no wind coverage and if he accepted the payment would he not get payment under wind. And I told him no, that we were looking at the several different causes of losses that were there and that they were both being looked at. And accepting payment under one cause of loss does not mean that you are saying that you can't accept payment under another cause of loss. Like I said, there have been several other instances where you have wind and hail and lightning. There can be several different causes of loss, and because you

accept payment on one does not exclude payment on 1 2 another policy. 3 Q Okay. And do you recall him -- telling 4 him that accepting the payment would not affect his homeowners claim in any way and that the engineers would determine causation? 6 7 I don't know if that is exactly what I said, that it would not affect it in any way. 8 don't know if that was my exact terminology. 10 But is it possible? You don't specifically recall, but is it possible that you 11 12 said that? 13 I don't recall it. It doesn't sound like something I would say. I said it doesn't affect you 14 receiving a wind payment, it wouldn't affect a wind 15 16 payment. At that point, I wasn't saying that there 17 was no wind damage, I was saying there was both. 18 And he further testified in his deposition that at one point you said to him, I do 19 20 not see any evidence of water damage during the 21 inspection. Do you recall that? 22 MS. RUFF: I object to that; that 23 testimony is not before us here today, 24 unless you want to read from his

deposition and submit his deposition as

25