

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

PETER PERRIEN ET AL.

CIVIL ACTION

VERSUS

NO. 06-8087

STATE FARM FIRE &  
CASUALTY COMPANY

SECTION "K" (2)

**ORDER ON MOTION**

APPEARANCES: None (on the briefs)

MOTION: Plaintiffs' Motion for Discovery Sanctions, Record Doc. No. 97


**ORDERED:**

XXX: DENIED, subject to the order contained herein. It appears from the evidence attached to the written submissions of the parties that defendant complied with my order in all respects and that the additional information that plaintiffs argue should have been provided exceeded the scope of the order. In particular, defendant's redaction of personal information concerning non-parties was wholly responsible conduct, consistent with this court's own general procedures. See, e.g., "Notice Concerning Personal Data Identifiers" dated April 9, 2003 (Rule 12 of this court's Administrative Procedures for electronic Case Filing)(published at [http://www.laed.uscourts.gov/Attorney/atypld\\_amended.htm](http://www.laed.uscourts.gov/Attorney/atypld_amended.htm)). Similarly, defendant's offer to produce information it claims is proprietary, trade secret or confidential commercial information only pursuant to a protective order (after identifying such materials in the court-ordered privilege log) was reasonable and consistent with both the position defendant took in its motion for a protective order and this court's order, which did not require immediate production of such materials. Accordingly, the imposition of sanctions is unjustified, and the motion is denied, subject to the following:

IT IS ORDERED that, no later than July 24, 2008, defendant must make available to plaintiffs for inspection and related activities all materials identified as Items 5 - 63 in defendant's "Privilege Document Log for 30(b)(6) Deposition of July 3, 2008," Record Doc. No. 90 at pp. 2-7, but only pursuant to the terms of the "Protective Order Regarding

Confidential Information,” which the court is separately entering in connection with this order.<sup>1</sup>

New Orleans, Louisiana, this 18th day of July, 2008.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JOSEPH C. WILKINSON, JR.  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

---

<sup>1</sup>The protective order that is being separately entered by the court is essentially the proposed protective order submitted by defendant, Record Doc. No. 105-7, except that the court has amended Paragraphs 5 and 6 of the proposed order (as reflected by my hand-written revisions) to make it consistent with the court’s general orders concerning the proposed sealing and maintenance of such information in the court’s presumptively public record.